## **Coefficient of Determination** - R<sup>2</sup>

- The SSTotal (corrected) is the amount of unexplained variation which exists without a regression line.
- The SSRegression is that part of the SSTotal which is explained by the regression line.
- $R<sup>2</sup>$  is the proportion of the SSTotal (corrected) accounted for by the Regression line (SSReg).

$$
R^2 = \tfrac{SS_{\text{Regression}}}{SS_{\text{Total}}} = \tfrac{SS_{\text{Total}} - SS_{\text{Error}}}{SS_{\text{Total}}} \ = 1 \ - \ \tfrac{SS_{\text{Error}}}{SS_{\text{Total}}}
$$

Some Properties of R<sup>2</sup>



3)  $R^2 = r_{XY}^2$  for simple linear regression

For a simple linear regression, the "correlation" is between either  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  or  $Y_i$ and  $\hat{Y}_i$ . These are the same since  $\hat{Y}_i$  is a linear function of  $X_i$ .

In the general case (multiple regression) there are various X's, so the correlation is between  $Y_i$  and  $\overline{Y}_i$  only.

for all models with intercepts 4)  $R^2 = r_{\hat{Y}Y}^2$ 

5) R<sup>2</sup>  $\lt$  1.0 when there are different repeated values of Y<sub>i</sub> at some value of  $X_i$  (no matter how well the model fits)



## Proofs:

1 through 3 are trivial

4) 
$$
r_{\hat{Y}Y}^2 = \frac{(\Sigma(\hat{Y}_i - \hat{Y})Y_i)^2}{\Sigma(Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \Sigma(\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2}
$$
, and since  $\hat{Y} = \overline{Y}$   
\n
$$
= \frac{(\Sigma \hat{Y}_i Y_i - n\overline{Y}^2)^2}{\Sigma(Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \Sigma(\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2}
$$
 since  $\Sigma \hat{Y}_i Y_i = \Sigma \hat{Y}_i (\hat{Y}_i + e_i) = \Sigma \hat{Y}_i + \Sigma \hat{Y}_i e_i = \Sigma \hat{Y}_i$   
\n
$$
= \frac{\Sigma(\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2}{\Sigma(Y_i - Y)^2} = R^2
$$

5) we will come back to this proof later

6) Model:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \epsilon_i$ SSResidual =  $\Sigma(Y_i - \hat{Y}_1)^2 = SS_1$  $\hat{Y}_i = b_0 + b_1 X_{1i}$ Model:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \epsilon_i$ SSResidual =  $\Sigma(Y_i - \hat{Y}_1)^2 = SS_2$  $\overset{\mathbf{A}}{ \mathbf{Y}}_i = \mathbf{b}_0 + \mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{X}_{1 i} + \mathbf{b}_2 \mathbf{X}_{2 i}$ 

where  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are the OLS estimators

Then it is clear that  $SS_2 \leq SS_1$ , and therefore

$$
\quad \tfrac{SS_2}{S_{YY}}\quad \leq\quad \tfrac{SS_1}{S_{YY}}
$$

Therefore,  $R^2$  does not **DECREASE** when additional variables are added to a model. It generally **INCREASES**, though it may stay the same.

Correlation coefficient "r"

this is a measure of the linear association between two variables

$$
\mathbf{r} = \frac{\Sigma(\mathrm{X}_i - \overline{\mathrm{X}})(\mathrm{Y}_i - \overline{\mathrm{Y}})}{\sqrt{\Sigma(\mathrm{Y}_i - \overline{\mathrm{Y}})^2\Sigma(\mathrm{X}_i - \overline{\mathrm{X}})^2}}
$$

and it is also given by the square root of the coefficient of determination

 $r = R<sup>2</sup>$  with the sign added to match the slope

either can be used, though the  $R^2$  seems to have a clearer interpretation

However, r is often used, possibly because it will be closer to 1 for any  $R^2$  value except 0 and 1

eg

if 
$$
R^2 = 0.25
$$
 then  $r = \sqrt{0.25} = 0.50$  which appears "better"

- For a simple linear regression, the "correlation" calculated is between either  $X_i$ and  $Y_i$  or  $Y_i$  and  $\hat{Y}_i$ . These are the same since  $\hat{Y}_i$  is a linear function of  $X_i$ .
- In the general case (multiple regression) there are various  $X$ 's, so the correlation is between  $Y_i$  and  $\overline{Y}_i$  only.



Tabular value:  $F_{0.05, 1.8 \text{ df}} = 5.32$ ,

so  $F_0 > F_{0.05, 1.8 \, df}$  and we REJECT H<sub>0</sub>

 $R^2 = \frac{160.0}{177.6} = 0.9009$  or 90.09%

 so we can state that this model accounts for 90.09% of the total variation (after adjusting for the mean).

What is a "GOOD"  $R^2$  value?

- It depends on your **expectations**. If you regress something that you KNOW is a strong relationship (eg. a fishes body length on his weight, or the length of peoples right arms versus their left arms) you may expect an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of 0.93 or 0.95, and you may consider a value of 0.80 or 0.85 to be "POOR".
- If you have a model which you do not expect to be good, (eg. Can I predict the density of fish in an area from the width of the stream at that point?), you may be very happy with an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of 0.30 or 0.40.