## Simple Linear Regression

Simple regression applications are used to fit a model describing a linear relationship between two variables. The aspects of least squares regression and correlation were developed by Sir Francis Galton in the late 1800's.
The application can be used to test for a statistically significant correlation between the variables. Finding a relationship does not prove a "cause and effect" relationship, but the model can be used to quantify a relationship where one is known to exist. The model provides a measure of the rate of change of one variable relative to another variable..
There is a potential change in the value of variable $Y$ as the value of variable $X$ changes.
Variable values will always be paired, one termed an independent variable (often referred to as the $X$ variable) and a dependent variable (termed a $Y$ variable). For each value of $X$ there is assumed to be a normally distributed population of values for the variable $Y$.
The linear model which describes the relationship between two variables is given as

$Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$
The " $Y$ " variable is called the dependent variable or response variable (vertical axis).
$\mu_{y \cdot x}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}$ is the population equation for a straight line. No error is needed in this equation because it describes the line itself. The term $\mu_{y, x}$ is estimated with at each value of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ with $\hat{Y}$.
$\mu_{y . X}=$ the true population mean of $Y$ at each value of $X$
The " $X$ " variable is called the independent variable or predictor variable (horizontal axis).
$\beta_{0}=$ the true value of the intercept (the value of $Y$ when $X=0$ )
$\beta_{1}=$ the true value of the slope, the amount of change in $Y$ for each unit change in $X$ (i.e. if $X$ changes by 1 unit, $Y$ changes by $\beta_{1}$ units).
The two population parameters to abe estimated, $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are also referred to as the regression coefficients.

All variability in the model is assumed to be due to $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$, so variance is measured vertically
The variability is assumed to be normally distributed at each value of $X_{i}$
The $X_{i}$ variable is assumed to have no variance since all variability is in $Y_{i}$ (this is a new assumption)
The values $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ ( $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ for a sample) are called the regressions coefficients.
The $\beta_{0}$ value is the value of Y at the point where the line crosses the Y axis. This value is called the intercept. If this value is zero the line crosses at the origin of the X and Y
axes, and the linear equation reduces from " $Y_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{i}$ " to " $Y_{i}=b_{1} X_{i}$ " and is said to have "no intercept", even though the regression line does cross the Y axis. The units on $b_{0}$ are the same units as for $Y_{i}$.

The $\beta_{1}$ value is called the slope. It determines the incline or angle of the regression line. If the slope is 0 , the line is horizontal. At this point the linear model reduced to " $Y_{i}=b_{0}$ ", and the regression is said to have "no slope". The slope gives the change in Y per unit of X . The units on the slope are then "Y units per X unit".

The population equation for the line describes a perfect line with no variation. In practice there is always variation about the line. We include an additional term to represent this variation.
$Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i} \quad$ for a population
$Y_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{i}+e_{i} \quad$ for a sample
When we put this term in the model, we are describing individual points as their position on the line plus or minus some deviation

The Sum of Squares of deviations from the line will form the basis of a variance for the regression line


When we leave the $e_{i}$ off the sample model we are describing a point on the regression line, predicted from the sample estimates. To indicate this we put a "hat" on the $Y_{\mathrm{i}}$ value, $\hat{Y}_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{i}$.

## Characteristics of a Regression Line

The line will pass through the point $\bar{Y}, \bar{X}$ (also the point $b_{0}, 0$ )
The sum of squared deviations (measured vertically) of the points from the regression line will be a minimum.
Values on the line for any value of $X_{i}$ can be described by the equation $\hat{Y}_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{i}$
Common objectives in Regression : there are a number of possible objectives
Determine if there is a relationship between $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
This would be determined by some hypothesis test.
The strength of the relationship is, to some extent, reflected in the correlation or $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value.
Determine the value of the rate of change of $Y_{i}$ relative to $X_{i}$.
This is measured by the slope of the regression line.
This objective would usually be accompanied by a test of the slope against 0 (or some other value) and/or a confidence interval on the slope.
Establish and employ a predictive equation for $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

This objective would usually be preceded by a Objective 1 above to show that a relationship exists.
The predicted values would usually be given with their confidence interval, or the regression with its confidence band.

## Assumptions in Regression Analysis

## Independence

The best guarantee of this assumption is random sampling. This is a difficult assumption to check.

This assumption is made for all tests we will see in this course.
Normality of the observations at each value of $X_{i}$ (or the pooled deviations from the regression line)

- This is relatively easy to test if the appropriate values are tested (e.g. residuals in ANOVA or Regression, not the raw $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values). This can be tested with the Shapiro-Wilks W statistic in PROC UNIVARIATE.
- This assumption is made for all tests we have seen this semester except the Chi square tests of Goodness of
 Fit and Independence
Homogeneity of error (homogeneous variances or homoscedasticity)
- This is easy to check for and to test in analysis of variance ( $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ on mean or tests like Bartalett's in ANOVA). In Regression the simplest way to check is by examining the the residual plot.
- This assumption is made for ANOVA (for pooled variance) and Regression. Recall that in 2 sample $t$-tests the equality of the variances need not be assumed, it can be readily tested.
$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}$ measured without error: This must be assumed in ordinary least squares regressions, since all error is measured in a vertical direction and occurs in $Y_{i}$.


## Assumptions - general assumptions

The $Y$ variable is normally distributed at each value of $X$
The variance is homogeneous (across $X$ ).
Observations are independent of each other and $e_{i}$ independent of the rest of the model.

## Special assumption for regression.

Assume that all of the variation is attributable to the dependent variable $(Y)$, and that the variable $X$ is measured without error.
Note that the deviations are measured vertically, not horizontally or perpendicular to the line.

## Fitting the line

Fitting the line starts with a corrected SSDeviation, this is the SSDeviation of the observations from a horizontal line through the mean.

The line will pass through the point $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$. The fitted line is pivoted on this point until it has a minimum SSDeviations.

How do we know the SSDeviations are a minimum? Actually, we solve the equation for $e_{i}$, and use calculus to determine the solution that has a minimum of the sum of squared deviations.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{i}+e_{i} \\
& e_{i}=Y_{i}-\left(b_{0}+b_{1} X_{i}\right)=Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i} \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[Y_{i}-\left(b_{0}+b_{1} X_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The line has some desirable properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left(b_{0}\right)=\beta_{0} \\
& \mathrm{E}\left(b_{1}\right)=\beta_{1} \\
& \mathrm{E}\left(\bar{Y}_{X}\right)=\mu_{Y . X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the parameter estimates and predicted values are unbiased estimates.

## Derivation of the formulas

You do not need to learn this derivation for this class! However you should be aware of the process and its objectives.

Any observation from a sample can be written as $Y_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{i}+e_{i}$.
where; $e_{\mathrm{i}}=$ a deviation of the observed point from the regression line
The idea of regression is to minimize the deviation of the observations from the regression
line, this is called a Least Squares Fit. The simple sum of the deviations is zero,
$\Sigma e_{i}=0$, so minimizing will require a square or an absolute value to remove the sign.
The sum of the squared deviations is,

$$
\sum e_{i}^{2}=\sum\left(Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum\left(Y_{i}-b_{0}-b_{1} X_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

The objective is to select $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ such that $\Sigma e_{i}^{2}$ is a minimum, by using some techniques from calculus. We have previously defined the uncorrected sum of squares and corrected sum of squares of a variable $Y_{\mathrm{i}}$.

## The corrected sum of squares of $\boldsymbol{Y}$

The uncorrected SS is $\sum Y_{i}^{2}$
The correction factor is $\left(\sum Y_{i}\right)^{2} / n$
The corrected SS is CSS $=S_{Y Y}=\sum\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}=\sum Y_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum Y_{i}\right)^{2} / n$
We will call this corrected sum of squares $S_{Y Y}$ and the correction factor $C_{Y Y}$

## The corrected sum of squares of $\boldsymbol{X}$

We could define the exact same series of calculations for $X_{i}$, and call it $S_{X X}$

## The corrected cross products of $Y$ and $X$

We need a cross product for regression, and a corrected cross product. The cross product is $X_{i} Y_{i}$.

The uncorrected sum of cross products is $\sum Y_{i} X_{i}$
The correction factor for the cross products is $C_{X Y}=\left(\Sigma Y_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma X_{i}\right) / n$
The corrected cross product is $C C P=S_{X Y}=\sum\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)=\sum Y_{i} X_{i}-\frac{\left(\Sigma Y_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma X_{i}\right)}{n}$

## The formulas for calculating the slope and intercept can be derived as follows

Take the partial derivative with respect to each of the parameter estimates, $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$.
For $b_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}\right)}{\partial b_{0}}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-b_{0}-b_{1} X_{i}\right)(-1), \text { which is set equal to } 0 \text { and solved for } b_{0} . \\
& -\Sigma Y_{i}+n b_{0}+b_{1} \Sigma X_{i}=0 \text { (this is the first "normal equation") }
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, for $b_{1}$ we obtain the partial derivative, set it equal to 0 and solved for $b_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}\right)}{\partial b_{1}}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-b_{0}-b_{1} X_{i}\right)\left(-X_{i}\right) \\
& \left.-\Sigma\left(Y_{i} X_{i}-b_{0} X_{i}-b_{1} X_{i}^{2}\right)=-\Sigma Y_{i} X_{i}+b_{0} \Sigma X_{i}+b_{1} \Sigma X_{i}^{2}\right) \quad \text { (second "normal equation") }
\end{aligned}
$$

The normal equations can be written as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{0} n & +b_{1} \Sigma X_{i}
\end{aligned}=\Sigma Y_{i},
$$

At this point we have two equations and two unknowns so we can solve for the unknown regression coefficient values $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$.

For $b_{0}$ the solution is: $n b_{0}=\Sigma Y_{i}-b_{1} \Sigma X_{i}$ and $b_{0}=\Sigma Y_{i} / n-b_{1}{ }^{\Sigma X_{i}} / n=\bar{Y}_{i}-b_{1} \bar{X}_{i}$.
Note that estimating $\beta_{0}$ requires a prior estimate of $b_{1}$ and the means of the variables $X$ and $Y$.

For $b_{1}$, given that, $b_{0}=\Sigma Y_{i} / n-b_{1} \Sigma X_{i} / n$ and $\Sigma Y_{i} X_{i}=b_{0} \Sigma X_{i}+b_{1} \Sigma X_{i}^{2}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma Y_{i} X_{i}=\left(\Sigma Y_{i} / n-b_{1} \Sigma X_{i} / n\right) \Sigma X_{i}+b_{1} \Sigma X_{i}^{2}=\Sigma Y_{i} \Sigma X_{i} / n-b_{1}\left(\Sigma X_{i}\right)^{2} / n+b_{1} \Sigma X_{i}^{2} \\
& \Sigma Y_{i} X_{i}-\Sigma Y_{i} \Sigma X_{i} / n=b_{1} X_{i}^{2}-b_{1}\left(\Sigma X_{i}\right)^{2} / n=b_{1}\left(\Sigma X_{i}^{2}-\left(\Sigma X_{i}\right)^{2} / n\right) \\
& b_{1}=\frac{\Sigma Y_{i} X_{i}-\Sigma Y_{i} \Sigma X_{i} / n}{\Sigma X_{i}^{2}-\left(\Sigma X_{i}\right)^{2} / n}=\frac{S_{Y X}}{S_{X X}} \text { so } b_{1} \text { is the corrected cross products over the corrected } \\
& \quad \text { sum of squares of } X
\end{aligned}
$$

The intermediate statistics needed to solve all elements of a SLR are $\Sigma Y_{i}, \Sigma X_{i}, \Sigma Y_{i}^{2}, \Sigma X_{i}^{2}, \Sigma Y_{i} X_{i}$ and $n$. We have not seen $\Sigma Y_{i}^{2}$ used in the calculations yet, but we will need it later to calculate variance.

## Review

We want to fit the best possible line through some observed data points. We define this as the line that minimizes the vertically measured distances from the observed values to the fitted line.
The line that achieves this is defined by the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0}=\Sigma Y_{i} / n-b_{1} \Sigma X_{i} / n=\bar{Y}_{i}-b_{1} \bar{X}_{i} \\
& b_{1}=\frac{\Sigma Y_{i} X_{i}-{ }^{\Sigma Y_{i} \Sigma X_{i} / n}}{\Sigma X_{i}^{2}-\left(\Sigma X_{i}\right)^{2} / n}=\frac{S_{Y X}}{S_{X X}}
\end{aligned}
$$

These calculations provide us with two parameter estimates that we can then use to get the equation for the fitted line. $\hat{Y}_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{i}$.

## Testing hypotheses about regressions

The total variation about a regression is exactly the same calculation as the total for Analysis of
Variance. SSTotal $=$ SSDeviations from the mean $=$ Uncorrected SSTotal - Correction factor
The simple regression analysis will produce two sources of variation.
SSRegression - the variation explained by the regression
SSError - the remaining, unexplained variation about the regression line.
These sources of variation are expressed in an ANOVA source table.

| Source | d.f. |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Regression | 1 | d.f. used to fit slope |
| Error | $\mathrm{n}-2$ | error d.f. |
| Total | $\mathrm{n}-1$ | d.f. lost in adjusting for ("correcting for") the mean |

Note that one degree of freedom is lost from the total for the "correction for the mean", which actually fits the intercept. The single regression d.f. is for fitting the slope.

The correction fits a flat line through the mean


The "regression" actually fits the slope.


The difference between these two models is that one has no slope, or a slope equal to zero ( $b_{1}=0$ ) and the other has a slope fitted. Testing for a difference between these two cases is the common hypothesis test of interest in regression and it is expressed as $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$.

The results of a regression are expressed in an ANOVA table. The regression is tested with an F test, formed by dividing the MSRegression by the MSError.

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Regression | 1 | SSRegression | MSRegression | MSRegression/MSError |
| Error | $\mathrm{n}-2$ | SSError | MSError |  |
| Total | $\mathrm{n}-1$ | SSTotal |  |  |

This is a one tailed F test, as it was with ANOVA, and it has 1 and $\mathrm{n}-1$ d.f. It tests the null hypothesis $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$ versus the alternative $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \beta_{1} \neq 0$.

## The $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ statistic

This is a popular statistic for interpretation. The concept is that we want to know what proportion of the corrected total sum of squares is explained by the regression line.

| Source | d.f. | SS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Regression | 1 | SSReg |
| Error | $\mathrm{n}-2$ | SSError |
| Total | $\mathrm{n}-1$ | SSTotal |

In the regression the process of fitting the regression the SSTotal is divided into two parts, the sum of squares "explained" by the regression (SSRegression) and the remaining

