```
TITLE1 'Two sample t-tests';
2
          dm'log;clear;output;clear';
3
          ODS HTML style=minimal body='C:\EXST 7005\SAS\Example04.html';
NOTE: Writing HTML Body file: C:\EXST 7005\SAS\Example04.html
          ODS RTF style=minimal body='C:\EXST 7005\SAS\Example04.rtf';
NOTE: Writing RTF Body file: C:\EXST 7005\SAS\Example04.rtf
          ODS PDF style=minimal body='C:\EXST 7005\SAS\Example04.PDF';
NOTE: Writing ODS PDF output to DISK destination
     "C:\EXST 7005\SAS\Example04.PDF", printer "PDF".
7
8
          ***************
          *** Steele & Torrie (1980) Table 5.2
9
                                                         ***;
10
          *** Percent digestability of corn silage was
11
          *** examined for sheep and steers.
                            ***********
12
13
          OPTIONS LS=99 PS=512 nocenter nodate nonumber;
14
15
          data silage; infile cards missover;
16
               TITLE2 'Percent digestability of corn silage';
17
               LABEL animal = 'Type of animal tested';
18
               LABEL percent = 'Percent digestability';
19
            input sheep steers;
20
             animal = 'Sheep '; percent = sheep; output;
             animal = 'Steers'; percent = steers; output;
21
22
          cards;
NOTE: The data set WORK.SILAGE has 14 observations and 4 variables.
NOTE: DATA statement used (Total process time):
     real time
                        0.01 seconds
                        0.03 seconds
     cpu time
22
        !
                 run;
30
31
          proc print data=silage; var animal percent;
             TITLE3 'Raw data listing';
32
NOTE: There were 14 observations read from the data set WORK.SILAGE.
NOTE: The PROCEDURE PRINT printed page 1.
NOTE: PROCEDURE PRINT used (Total process time):
                        0.07 seconds
     real time
     cpu time
                        0.01 seconds
Two sample t-tests
Percent digestability of corn silage
Raw data listing
              percent
Obs
      animal
      Sheep
  1
               57.8
  2
    Steers
                 64.2
  3
    Sheep
                 56.2
  4
     Steers
                 58.7
  5
      Sheep
                 61.9
  6
      Steers
                 63.1
  7
      Sheep
                 54.4
  8
     Steers
                 62.5
  9
     Sheep
                 53.6
 10
     Steers
                 59.8
                 56.4
 11
     Sheep
                 59.2
 12
      Steers
 13
                 53.2
      Sheep
 14
      Steers
```

```
34
          proc ttest data=silage; class animal; var percent;
35
              TITLE3 'PROC TTEST results';
36
          run:
NOTE: There were 14 observations read from the data set WORK.SILAGE.
NOTE: The PROCEDURE TTEST printed page 2.
NOTE: PROCEDURE TTEST used (Total process time):
      real time
                          0.07 seconds
      cpu time
                          0.00 seconds
Two sample t-tests
Percent digestability of corn silage
PROC TTEST results
The TTEST Procedure
Statistics
                       Lower CL
                                     Upper CL Lower CL
                                                             Upper CL
Variable animal
                                        Mean Std Dev Std Dev Std Dev Std Err
                    N
                         Mean
                                Mean
                    7 53.437
6 58.834
percent
       Sheep
                    7
                        53.437 56.214
                                       58.991
                                               1.9348 3.0025
                                                              6.6116
                                                                      1.1348
       Steers
percent
                               61.25
                                       63.666
                                               1.4369
                                                      2.302
                                                              5.6458
                                                                     0.9398
percent Diff (1-2)
                         -8.35 -5.036
                                       -1.721 1.9174 2.7066
                                                              4.5955 1.5058
T-Tests
Variable
                                                             Pr > |t|
           Method
                             Variances
                                           \mathbf{DF}
                                                  t Value
percent
           Pooled
                             Equal
                                            11
                                                    -3.34
                                                              0.0065
                                          10.9
                                                               0.0058
percent
           Satterthwaite
                            Unequal
                                                    -3.42
Equality of Variances
Variable
         Method
                        Num DF
                                  Den DF
                                            F Value
                                                       Pr > F
percent
           Folded F
                                      5
                                               1.70
                                                       0.5764
                             6
37
           *****************
38
           *** Steele & Torrie (1980) Table 5.6
39
40
           *** Percent fine gravel found in surface soils.
           ***
41
                 Data from a study comparing characteristics ***;
42
                 of soil catagorized as "good" or "poor".
           ***********************************
43
44
45
          data dirt; infile cards missover;
46
                TITLE2 'Percent fine gravel in surface soils';
47
                LABEL soilqual = 'Soil quality evaluation';
48
                LABEL percent = 'Percent fine gravel';
49
             input good poor;
50
              soilqual = 'good '; percent = good; output;
51
              soilqual = 'poor'; percent = poor; output;
52
           cards;
NOTE: The data set WORK.DIRT has 14 observations and 4 variables.
NOTE: DATA statement used (Total process time):
      real time
                          0.00 seconds
                          0.00 seconds
      cpu time
52
        ļ
                 run;
60
           proc print data=dirt; var soilqual percent;
61
62
              TITLE3 'Raw data listing';
```

63 run;

NOTE: There were 14 observations read from the data set WORK.DIRT.

NOTE: The PROCEDURE PRINT printed page 3.

NOTE: PROCEDURE PRINT used (Total process time):

real time 0.07 seconds cpu time 0.01 seconds

Two sample t-tests Percent fine gravel in surface soils Raw data listing

Obs	soilqual	percent			
1	good	5.9	8	poor	3.2
2	poor	7.6	9	good	18.2
3	good	3.8	10	poor	6.5
4	poor	0.4	11	good	16.1
5	good	6.5	12	poor	4.1
6	poor	1.1	13	good	7.6
7	good	18.3	14	poor	4.7

64 proc ttest data=dirt; class soilqual; var percent;

65 TITLE3 'PROC TTEST results';

66 run;

NOTE: There were 14 observations read from the data set WORK.DIRT.

NOTE: The PROCEDURE TTEST printed page 4.

NOTE: PROCEDURE TTEST used (Total process time):

real time 0.10 seconds cpu time 0.00 seconds

Two sample t-tests

Percent fine gravel in surface soils

PROC TTEST results

The TTEST Procedure

Statistics

		1	Lower CL		Upper CL	Lower CL		Upper CL	
Variable	soilqual	N	Mean	Mean	Mean	Std Dev	Std Dev	Std Dev	Std Err
percent	good	7	5.0559	10.914	16.773	4.0819	6.3344	13.949	2.3942
percent	poor	7	1.5048	3.9429	6.3809	1.6987	2.6362	5.8051	0.9964
percent	Diff (1-2)		1.3212	6.9714	12,622	3.4789	4.8515	8.0086	2.5932

T-Tests

Variable	Method	Variances	\mathtt{DF}	t Value	Pr > t
percent	Pooled	Equal	12	2.69	0.0197
percent	Satterthwaite	Unequal	8.02	2.69	0.0275

Equality of Variances

Variable Method Num DF Den DF F Value Pr > F percent Folded F 6 6 5.77 0.0509

```
******************
68
69
          *** Steele & Torrie (1980) Exercise 5.5.6
70
          *** The weights in grams of 10 male and 10 female
71
          *** juvenile ring-necked pheasants trapped in
72
          *** January in Wisconsin are given. Test the Ho
          *** that males were 350 grams heavier than females. ***;
73
          *****************
74
75
76
          data birds; infile cards missover;
77
               TITLE2 'Weight in gms of male & female pheasants';
78
               LABEL sex = 'Sex of pheasant';
79
               LABEL weight = 'Weight in grams';
80
            input sex $ weight;
81
               if sex eq 'Male' then AdjWT = Weight - 350;
82
               else AdjWT = weight;
          cards;
83
NOTE: The data set WORK.BIRDS has 20 observations and 3 variables.
NOTE: DATA statement used (Total process time):
     real time
                         0.01 seconds
      cpu time
                         0.01 seconds
83
       !
                 run;
104
          proc print data=birds; var sex weight adjwt;
105
             TITLE3 'Raw data listing';
106
107
          run;
NOTE: There were 20 observations read from the data set WORK.BIRDS.
NOTE: The PROCEDURE PRINT printed page 5.
NOTE: PROCEDURE PRINT used (Total process time):
     real time
                        0.09 seconds
     cpu time
                         0.01 seconds
Two sample t-tests
Weight in gms of male & female pheasants
Raw data listing
                           Adj
                                            10
                                                  Female
                                                             1028
                                                                      1028
    WT
1061 1061
Female 1065 1065
Female 1092 1092
Female 1017 1017
Female 1021 1021
Female 1138 1138
Female 1143 1143
Female 1094 1094
Female 1270
Obs
                                            11
                                                  Male
                                                            1293
                                                                      943
                                                  Male
  1
                                            12
                                                            1380
                                                                      1030
  2
                                            13 Male
                                                            1614
                                                                      1264
  3
                                           14 Male
                                                            1497
                                                                    1147
  4
                                           15 Male
                                                            1340
                                                                       990
                                                            1643
1466
                                           16 Male
  5
                                                                      1293
                                           17 Male
                                                            1466
  6
                                                                      1116
                                                            1627
                                                  Male
  7
                                            18
                                                                      1277
  8
                                            19 Male
                                                            1383
                                                                      1033
  9
                                            20
                                                  Male
                                                            1711
                                                                      1361
109
          proc ttest data=birds H0=-350; class sex; var weight;
             TITLE3 'PROC TTEST results specifying a difference';
110
          run:
NOTE: There were 20 observations read from the data set WORK.BIRDS.
NOTE: The PROCEDURE TTEST printed page 6.
NOTE: PROCEDURE TTEST used (Total process time):
     real time
                       0.10 seconds
                         0.03 seconds
     cpu time
```

Two sample t-tests Weight in gms of male & female pheasants PROC TTEST results specifying a difference

The TTEST Procedure

Statistics

			Lower CL		Upper CL	Lower CL		Upper CL	
Variable	sex	N	Mean	Mean	Mean	Std Dev	Std Dev	Std Dev	Std Err
weight	Female	10	1038.1	1092.9	1147.7	52.709	76.63	139.9	24.232
weight	Male	10	1391	1495.4	1599.8	100.36	145.9	266.36	46.138
weight	Diff (1-2)		-512	-402.5	-293	88.053	116.53	172.33	52.115

T-Tests

Variable	Method	Variances	DF	t Value	Pr > t
weight	Pooled	Equal	18	-1.01	0.3271
weight	Satterthwaite	Unequal	13.6	-1.01	0.3313

Equality of Variances

Variable	Method	Num DF	Den DF	F Value	Pr > F
weight	Folded F	9	9	3.63	0.0686

112 proc ttest data=birds; class sex; var adjwt;

113 TITLE3 'PROC TTEST results on adjusted values';

114 run;

NOTE: There were 20 observations read from the data set WORK.BIRDS.

NOTE: The PROCEDURE TTEST printed page 7.

NOTE: PROCEDURE TTEST used (Total process time):

real time 0.12 seconds cpu time 0.01 seconds

Two sample t-tests

Weight in gms of male & female pheasants PROC TTEST results on adjusted Values The TTEST Procedure

Statistics

			Lower CL		Upper CL	Lower CL		Upper CL	
Variable	sex	N	Mean	Mean	Mean	Std Dev	Std Dev	Std Dev	Std Err
AdjWT	Female	10	1038.1	1092.9	1147.7	52.709	76.63	139.9	24.232
AdjWT	Male	10	1041	1145.4	1249.8	100.36	145.9	266.36	46.138
AdjWT	Diff (1-2)		-162	-52.5	56.989	88.053	116.53	172.33	52.115

T-Tests

I ICBCB					
Variable	Method	Variances	DF	t Value	Pr > t
AdjWT	Pooled	Equal	18	-1.01	0.3271
AdjWT	Satterthwaite	Unequal	13.6	-1.01	0.3313

Equality of Variances

Variable Method Num DF AdjWT Folded F 9 Den DF F Value Pr > F 9 3.63 0.0686